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2015
Spurgeon Neel Annual Award Runner-up

The Army Medical Department Museum Foundation sponsors the Spurgeon Neel Annual Award competition for the best original article that best exemplifies the history, legacy, and tradition of the US Army Medical Department. The following article by Dr Justin Barr was selected as the second best submission of the 2015 competition.

In 1905, Japan shocked the world by becoming the first Asian nation to defeat a western country on the field of battle since Genghis Khan and the Mongols. They simultaneously commanded the attention of the military medical community by claiming to be the first combatant force to suffer fewer deaths from disease than from enemy action. Given the recent, disease-ridden medical debacle of the Spanish-American War, American military medical reformers used Japan's achievements as a model for the US Army Medical Department (AMEDD) to emulate. (1) After briefly defining Japan's said success, this essay reviews the failures of the AMEDD in the Spanish American War. It then highlights how Japan, fighting less than a decade later, appeared to achieve dramatically different results. I conclude first by proving Japan's medical victory fictitious and then by showing how AMEDD reformers nonetheless used the narrative of success to advance their own agenda in modernizing the department.

JAPAN'S SUCCESS AND AMERICA'S FAILURE

Japan and her supporters proudly trumpeted its success controlling disease. Their victory on the field of battle was evident, driving the Russians out of Korea and Manchuria and claiming the territory for themselves. (2) Their conquest over disease appeared equally obvious: Japan lost more men to battle than to disease. Numbers vary based on source consulted, but whereas 53,000 59,000 men died from direct enemy action, only 12,000 27,000 men died from illness. (3) While Japan and its allies claimed priority for this achievement, in fact the Prussians obtained a similar ratio 30 years earlier in the Franco-Prussian War. (4) Nonetheless, compared to most 19th century wars where disease far outstripped combat deaths, Japan seemed to accomplish a monumental feat. (America, for example, did not achieve similar results until World War II.) Figure 1 shows the number of disease deaths per battle death in wars of the 19th century. The graph highlights Japan's apparent success, and it was to graphs and comparisons of this type that Japan and her supporters pointed. Reality, as this essay will prove, was more complicated.

If the Russo-Japanese War was championed as a posterchild of military medical efficiency, the Spanish American War represented its alleged nadir. As Figure 1 also demonstrates, far more Soldiers died from disease in that conflict than from direct contact with the enemy. (6) Combat medicine acquitted itself well, applying the germ theory of disease through antisepic dressings and deploying new technology like x-rays to manage the combat-wounded; the died-of-wounds rate dropped from 17% in the Civil War to 4%. However, sanitation and public health failed the American Soldier. Bivouacked in camps that paid little heed to waste management, thousands of Soldiers suffered--and died--from diarrhea, 74% of which...
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The outbreak of the First World War and the emergence of Russia as Britain's 'glorious ally' swiftly changed public attitudes in Britain, which had been largely, but not entirely, hostile to Russia. The sense that Britain needed to cure its 'abysmal ignorance' of Russia, coupled with the strong desire to replace Germany, the enemy, as a trading partner with Russia led to the initiation of the teaching of the language at eight or more university-standard establishments. The material and intellectual foundation of the nascent departments of Russi... In the later stages of World War I, the Allied Powers dispatched an expeditionary force to Siberia, with Japan and the United States sending the most troops. Military attachés and observers in the Russo-Japanese War were historians creating first-hand accounts of what was arguably the world's first modern war. They helped to create primary-source records of this war between Imperial Russian forces and Imperial Japan forces, which has been characterized by some as a rehearsal for the First World War. The multi-national military attachés and observers who took part in the Russo-Japanese War were expressly engaged in collecting data and analyzing the US Army Med Dep J. Oct-Dec 2016;(3-16):118-28. Author. Justin Barr 1. Affiliation. 1 Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina. PMID: 27613218. No abstract available. Publication types. Historical Article. MeSH terms. History, 20th Century.